UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,327	02/07/2007	Kevin O. Lillehei	122438.125007-0001	5898
	7590	EXAMINER		
900 FIFTH THIRD CENTER			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO	
111 LYON STREET, N.W. GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503-2487			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/561,327	LILLEHEI, KEVIN O.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kevin T. Truong	3734		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANE	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 E This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	•		
	Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. T	1, 400 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/561,327 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-17 are objected to because of the following informalities: At least claims 1 and 15 are missing the transitional phrases such as "comprising" or "consisting essentially of". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roth (US 6015433).

Note in figures 1-5 of Roth, a expandable surgical cannula (1) is interpreted as a floating surgical cannula and is made of nitinol alloy and a surgical tool is used for inserting the cannula (1) into human's brain; and wherein the exterior and interior of the cannula can be coated with materials as recited in the claims. With respect to the method claims 15-17, it is note that the Roth device can be used to perform the method as claimed includes forming a small opening at the location in need of surgery, inserting a contracted floating surgical cannula at the location, and expanding the surgical cannula to a size sufficient for surgery.

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brenneman (US 6406487).

Note in figures 1-5 of Brenneman, a expandable surgical cannula (1) is interpreted as a floating surgical cannula and is made of nitinol alloy and a surgical tool is used for inserting the cannula (1) into human's brain (3); and wherein the exterior and interior of the cannula can be coated with materials as recited in the claims. With respect to the method claims 15-17, it is note that the device of Brenneman clearly capable of performing the method as claimed includes forming a small opening at the location in need of surgery, inserting a contracted floating surgical cannula at the location, and expanding the surgical cannula to a size sufficient for surgery.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on 571-272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,327 Page 4

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin T. Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3734 Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3734